

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,970	KISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ralph Gitomer	1655	

All Participants:

 (1) Ralph Gitomer.

 (2) Luke Kilyk.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants representative was informed the amendment after final has been received and an advisory action is forthcoming.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claim 36 may be directed to a distinct invention.